

## OAKLAND HARBOR TURNING BASINS WIDENING, CA

## **N**AVIGATION STUDY

# DRAFT INTEGRATED FEASIBILITY REPORT & ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

**APPENDIX B5:** 

**Cost Engineering** 

## Contents

1. Introduction	3
Table 1: First Costs Table Tentatively Selected Plan	3
2. Basis of Costs	4
2.1. Navigation Ports & Harbors	4
2.2. Sediment & Soil Assumption	4
2.3. Lands & Damages	. 5
2.4. Environmental Mitigation	. 6
2.5. Planning, Engineering and Design	. 6
2.6. Construction Management	. 6
3. Construction Contingency	. 6
4. Total Project Cost Summary (TPCS)	. 7
TPCS Tentatively Selected Plan	. 8
5. MCACES (MII) Construction Estimate	. 10
6. Construction Schedule	. 11

## 1. Introduction

This cost appendix corresponds with the Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation feasibility study. The appendix provides a summary explanation of the project assumptions and other cost related aspects of the project. Greater detail on many project topics can be found in the other technical appendices.

**Table 1: First Costs Table Tentatively Selected Plan** 

Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation Study										
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES	1	LS	\$149,047,000	0%	\$0	\$149,047,000			
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES ADMIN COSTS	1	LS	\$410,000	5.0%	\$20,000	\$430,000			
02	RELOCATIONS	1	LS	\$1,753,000	36.0%	\$631,000	\$2,384,000			
06	FISH& WILDLIFE FACILITIES	1	LS	\$3,240,000	36.0%	\$1,166,000	\$4,406,000			
12	NAVIGATION PORTS & HARBORS	1	LS	\$175,596,000	36.0%	\$63,215,000	\$238,811,000			
18	CULTURAL RESOURCE PRESERVATION	1	LS	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0			
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	1	LS	\$35,053,000	36.0%	\$12,619,000	\$47,672,000			
31	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	1	LS	\$14,447,000	36.0%	\$5,201,000	\$19,648,000			
	TOTAL			\$379,546,000	21.8%	\$82,853,000	\$462,399,000			

#### 2. Basis of Costs

## 2.1 Navigation Ports & Harbors

The basis of the majority of the cost estimate for the recommended plan rests with the workassociated with the excavation and removal of material to deepen and widen the harbor turning basins. These construction activities include concrete pavement removal, land excavation, bulkhead removal, bulkhead installation, dredging and hauling.

## 2.2 Sediment & Soil Assumptions

#### **Howard Terminal:**

- Top 15' (Below Ground Surface (BGS) to lowest level of groundwater contact); Assume 90% material will require disposal at a Class II Landfill; assume the remaining 10% of material requires Class 1 Landfill disposal.
- 15' BGS to contact with Old Bay Mud/Merritt Sand/Posey Formation (OBM/MS) Suitable for Wetland Non-Cover (Montezuma Wetlands).
- Below contact point with OBM/MS, suitable for any reuse (wetland cover, construction, ocean disposal)
- Groundwater can be released to the Bay during construction unless the historic sheetpile wall behind the wharf is breeched for construction. In that case, groundwater will require treatment prior to release to the Bay (or alternative disposal). Further, the new bulkhead will need to be constructed to prevent discharges to the Bay unless the groundwater is completely remediated.

#### Alameda:

- Top 15' BGS to lowest level of groundwater contact; Assume 95% material will require disposal at a Class II Landfill and 5% of the volume will require Class I landfill disposal.
- 15' BGS to contact with OBM/MS Suitable for Wetland Non-Cover (Montezuma Wetlands).
- Below contact point with OBM/MS, suitable for any reuse (wetland cover, construction, ocean disposal).
- Groundwater can be released to the Bay during construction.

#### **Schnitzer Steel:**

- Assume 75% of the volume of the soil down to 15' BGS requires Class II landfill disposal and 25% requires Class I disposal.
- Material from 15' BGS to contact with OBM/MS will need Class II landfill disposal.
- OBM/MS suitable for any reuse or disposal.
- Groundwater within the site liner will require treatment and offsite disposal. Groundwater below monitoring wells can be discharged to the Bay.
- Any bulkhead will need to be designed to meet environmental mitigation needs (contain and possibly treat groundwater).

#### All Exposed Inner Harbor Sediments (currently not under land):

- Young Bay Mud (and Recent Bay Mud) acceptable as Wetland Non-Cover at Montezuma Wetlands.
- OBM/MS Suitable for any reuse.
- For the basin area between Schnitzer and Howard Terminal assume 20% of the volume excavated between Schnitzer and Howard require Class II disposal. That is, this material will require placement at Berth 10 dredge rehandling site for drying prior to landfill disposal.

#### All Exposed Outer Harbor Sediments (currently not under land):

- Young Bay Mud (and Recent Bay Mud) acceptable as Wetland Non-Cover at Montezuma Wetlands.
- OBM/MS Suitable for any reuse.

## 2.3 Lands & Damages

Cost estimates for real estate activities associated with the recommended plan were provided bythe Real Estate specialists and appraisers of the PDT. Real Estate costs include all anticipated government labor, such as for property appraisers and attorneys, demolition and disposal of impacted private properties and estimated business impacts or required relocations (separate from utility relocations).

## 2.4 Environmental Mitigation

Costs associated with environmental mitigation (Fish & Wildlife Facilities, WBS Account 06) were provided by biologists from the study PDT. See the appropriate appendices for discussion of these costs.

## 2.5 Planning, Engineering and Design

The cost was developed for all activities associated with the planning, engineering and design effort. The cost for this account includes the preparation of Design Documentation Reports, plans, and specifications for the Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation, and engineering support during construction through project completion. It includes all the in-house labor based upon work-hour requirements, material and facility costs, travel, and overhead.

## 2.6 Construction Management

The cost was developed for all construction management activities from pre-award requirements through final contract closeout. This cost includes the in-house labor based upon work-hour requirements, materials, facility costs, support contracts, travel and overhead. The cost was developed based on the input from the construction division in accordance with the Civil Works Breakdown Structure (CWBS) and includes, but is not limited to, anticipated items such as the salaries of the resident engineer and staff, surveyors, inspectors, drafters, clerical, and custodial personnel; operation, maintenance and fixed charges for transportation and for other field equipment; field supplies; construction management, general construction supervision; and project office administration, distributive cost of area office and general overhead charged to the project.

## 3. Contingencies

An Abbreviated Risk Analysis (ARA) has been performed to generate risk based contingency rates for utility relocations, environmental mitigations, construction, PED (planning, engineering and design), and construction management.

## 4. Total Project Cost Summary (TPCS)

The TPCS was prepared using the latest TPCS excel spreadsheet provided by the USACE, Walla Walla District. The TPCS incorporates the construction cost developed in the MCACES (MII), the project markups, and the functional costs.

The TPCS presents the project first cost and the total project cost (fully funded with inflation).

The project first cost is the cost estimate used in feasibility reports for congressional funding requests.

The total project cost is the constant dollar cost fully funded with escalation to the estimated midpoint of construction. The total cost of construction of general navigation features is the cost estimate used in Project Partnership Agreements and integral determination reports. Total project cost is the cost estimate provided non-federal sponsors for their use in financial planning as it provides information regarding the overall non-federal cost sharing obligation.

Printed:11/10/2021 \*\*\*\* TOTAL PROJECT COST SUMMARY \*\*\*\*

PROJECT: OAKLAND HARBOR TURNING BASIN WIDENING
PROJECT NO P2# 476976
LOCATION: OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

This Estimate reflects the scope and schedule in report;

OAKLAND HARBOR TURNING BASIN WIDENING

DISTRICT: San Francisco District PREPARED: POC: CHIEF, COST ENGINEERING, Warren Tan 8/26/2021

Civil	ESTIMATED COST				PROJECT FIRST COST (Constant Dollar Basis)					TOTAL PROJECT COST (FULLY FUNDED)					
									(Budget EC): ce Level Date:	2021 1 OCT 20					
WBS <u>NUMBER</u> <b>A</b>	Civil Works  Feature & Sub-Feature Description  B	COST _(\$K) 	CNTG (\$K) <b>D</b>	CNTG _(%) <i>E</i>	TOTAL _(\$K) <i>F</i>	ESC (%) <b>G</b>	COST (\$K) <i>H</i>	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL _(\$K) 	Spent Thru: 1-Oct-20 _(\$K)_	TOTAL FIRST COST (\$K) K	NFLATEI (%) <i>L</i>	COST (\$K) M	CNTG (\$K) N	FULL (\$K) <b>O</b>
02 04 05 06 07 12 18	RELOCATIONS DAMS LOCKS FISH & WILDLIFE FACILITIES POWER PLANT NAVIGATION PORTS & HARBORS CULTURAL RESOURCE PRESERVATION #N/A	\$1,753 \$0 \$0 \$3,240 \$0 \$175,596 \$0 \$0	\$631 \$0 - \$0 - \$1,166 \$0 - \$63,215 \$0 - \$0 -	36.0% 36.0%	\$2,384 \$0 \$0 \$4,406 \$0 \$238,811 \$0 \$0	0.0% - - 0.0% - 0.0%	\$1,753 \$0 \$0 \$3,240 \$0 \$175,596 \$0	\$631 \$0 \$0 \$1,166 \$0 \$63,215 \$0	\$2,384 \$0 \$0 \$4,406 \$0 \$238,811 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$4,406 \$0 \$238,811 \$0	18.6% - - 19.2% - 18.6% -	\$2,078 \$0 \$0 \$3,842 \$0 \$208,196 \$0 \$0	\$748 \$0 \$0 \$1,412 \$0 \$74,951 \$0 \$0	\$2,827 \$0 \$0 \$5,253 \$0 \$283,147 \$0 \$0
01 01 01	CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE TOTALS:  LANDS AND DAMAGES NFS Admin Cost FED Admin Cost	\$180,589 \$149,047 \$229 \$181	\$65,012 \$0 \$11 \$9	0.0% 5.0% 5.0%	\$245,601 \$149,047 \$240 \$190	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	\$180,589 \$149,047 \$229 \$181	\$65,012 \$0 \$11 \$9	\$245,601 \$149,047 \$240 \$190	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$245,601 \$149,047 \$240	18.6% 18.6% 18.6% 18.6%	\$214,116 \$176,718 \$272 \$215	\$77,111 \$0 \$14 \$11	\$291,227 \$176,718 \$285 \$225
30 31	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	\$35,053 \$14,447	\$12,619 \$5,201	36.0% 36.0%	\$47,672 \$19,648	0.0%	\$35,053 \$14,447	\$12,619 \$5,201	\$47,672 \$19,648	\$0 \$0	\$47,672	19.7% 23.6%	\$41,973 \$17,852	\$15,110 \$6,427	\$57,083 \$24,278
	PROJECT COST TOTALS:	\$379,546	\$82,853	21.8%	\$462,399		\$379,546	\$82,853	\$462,399	\$0	\$462,399	18.9%	\$451,145	\$98,672	\$549,817
	CHIEF, COST ENGINEERING, Warren Ta PROJECT MANAGER, Erika Powell								ESTI	MATED 1	TOTAL PRO	OJECT	COST:		\$549,817
	CHIEF, REAL ESTATE, Adam Olso  CHIEF, PLANNING, Thomas Kendall														
	CHIEF, ENGINEERING, Son Ha														
		CHIEF, OPERATIONS, Nicholas Malasavage													
		CHIEF, CONSTRUCTION, Jere Harper  CHIEF, CONTRACTING, Mary Fronck													
		CHIEF, PM-PB, xxxx													
		CHIEF, DPM, xxx													

PREPARED:

8/26/2021

#### \*\*\*\* CONTRACT COST SUMMARY \*\*\*\*

OAKLAND HARBOR TURNING BASIN WIDENING PROJECT:

7.0% Construction Management

1.0% Project Management

Project Operation:

CONTRACT COST TOTALS:

0.0%

LOCATION: OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

\$12,641

\$1.806

\$0

\$379,546 \$82,853

\$4,551

\$0

\$650

36.0%

36.0%

36.0%

\$17,192

\$2,456

\$462,399

DISTRICT: San Francisco District CHIEF, COST ENGINEERING, Warren Tan

This Estimate reflects the scope and schedule in report; OAKLAND HARBOR TURNING BASIN WIDENING PROJECT FIRST COST Civil Works Work Breakdown Structure ESTIMATED COST TOTAL PROJECT COST (FULLY FUNDED) (Constant Dollar Basis) Estimate Prepared: 26-Aug-21 Program Year (Budget EC): Effective Price Level: 1-Oct-20 Effective Price Level Date: 1 OCT 20 RISK BASED WBS Civil Works COST CNTG CNTG TOTAL ESC COST CNTG TOTAL Mid-Point INFLATED COST CNTG FULL **NUMBER** Feature & Sub-Feature Description (\$K) **O** (\$K) (\$K) (%) **E** (\$K) **F** (%) **G** (\$K) (\$K) (\$K) (\$K) (\$K) Date (%) **L** 02 RELOCATIONS \$1,753 \$631 \$2,384 2026Q4 18.6% \$748 36.0% \$2,384 0.0% \$1,753 \$631 \$2,078 \$2,827 #N/A \$0 \$0 0.0% \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0% 0.0% \$0 0.0% 06 FISH & WILDLIFE FACILITIES \$3,240 \$1,166 36.0% \$4,406 0.0% \$3,240 \$1,166 \$4,406 2026Q4 18.6% \$3,842 \$1,412 \$5,253 07 \$0 \$0 0.0% 0.0% \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 12 NAVIGATION PORTS & HARBORS \$175 596 \$63,215 36.0% \$238.811 0.0% \$175,596 \$63,215 \$238.811 202604 18.6% \$208 196 \$74.951 \$283,147 18 CULTURAL RESOURCE PRESERVATION \$0 \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0% \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 0.0% \$0 \$0 \$0 #N/A 0 CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE TOTALS: \$180,589 \$65,012 \$180,589 \$65,012 \$245,601 \$214,116 \$77,111 \$291,227 36.0% \$245,601 LANDS AND DAMAGES 01 \$149,047 \$0 0.0% \$149,047 0.0% \$149,047 \$0 \$149,047 2026Q4 18.6% \$176,718 \$0 \$176,718 NFS Admin Cost 01 \$229 \$11 5.0% \$240 0.0% \$229 \$11 \$240 2026Q4 18.6% \$272 \$14 \$285 FED Admin Cost 01 \$181 \$9 5.0% \$190 0.0% \$181 \$9 \$190 2026Q4 18.6% \$215 \$11 \$225 30 PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN Project Management \$1,806 \$650 36.0% \$2,456 0.0% \$1.806 \$650 \$2,456 2025Q4 19.0% \$2,150 \$774 \$2,924 Planning & Environmental Compliance \$3,612 \$1,300 36.0% \$4,912 \$3,612 \$1,300 \$4,912 2025Q4 19.0% \$4,300 \$1,548 \$5,848 2.0% 0.0% \$5,417 7.0% Engineering & Design \$12.641 \$4.551 36.0% \$17.192 0.0% \$12.641 \$4.551 \$17.192 2025Q4 19.0% \$15.048 \$20,465 1.4% Reviews, ATRs, IEPRs, VE \$2,546 \$917 36.0% \$3,463 0.0% \$2.546 \$917 \$3,463 2025Q4 19.0% \$3,031 \$1,091 \$4,122 1.0% Life Cycle Updates (cost, schedule, risks) \$1,806 \$650 36.0% \$2,456 0.0% \$1,806 \$650 \$2,456 2025Q4 19.0% \$2,150 \$774 \$2,924 \$4,300 \$5,848 2.0% Contracting & Reprographics \$3.612 \$1,300 36.0% \$4.912 0.0% \$3.612 \$1.300 \$4.912 2025Q4 19.0% \$1,548 1.0% **Engineering During Construction** \$1,806 \$650 36.0% \$2,456 0.0% \$1,806 \$650 \$2,456 2026Q4 23.6% \$2,232 \$803 \$3,035 2.0% Planning During Construction \$3,612 \$1,300 36.0% \$4,912 0.0% \$3,612 \$1,300 \$4,912 2026Q4 23.6% \$4,463 \$1,607 \$6,070 \$2,924 \$2,150 1.0% Project Operations \$1.806 \$650 36.0% \$2,456 0.0% \$1.806 \$650 \$2,456 2025Q4 19.0% \$774 1.0% Adaptive Management and Monitoring \$1,806 \$650 36.0% \$2,456 0.0% \$1,806 \$650 \$2,456 2026Q4 19.0% \$2,150 \$774 \$2,924 31 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

0.0%

0.0%

\$12,641

\$379.546 \$82.853

0.0% \$1.806

\$4,551

\$0

\$650

\$17,192

\$2,456

\$462,399

2026Q4

202604

23.6%

0.0%

23.6%

\$15,620

\$2 232

\$451.145 \$98.672

\$5,623

\$803

\$21,243

\$3,035

\$549,817

Print Date Wed 10 November 2021 Eff. Date 8/10/2021 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Project : Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Construction Cost Cost Progression USACE Report

Time 23:15:21

All Construction WBS Accounts Page 1

Description	Quantity	UOM	ProjectCost
All Construction WBS Accounts			180,589,261.04
WBS Account Number 02 Relocations	1.0000	LS	1,753,000.00
WBS Account Number 06 Fish & Wildlife Facilities	1.0000	LS	3,240,000.00
WBS Account Number 12 Navigation Ports and Harbor	1.0000	LS	175,596,261.04
INNER HARBOR	1.0000	LS	129,513,741.04
OUTER HARBOR	1.0000	LS	46,082,520.00

